

International Journal of Knowledge, Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Print: ISSN 2054-3662 | Online: ISSN 2054-3670
(www.ijkie.org)

Publication Ethics & Malpractice Statement

The International Journal of Knowledge, Initiation and Entrepreneurship adheres strictly to and based its Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement on the Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors and the position statements developed by the Committee on Publication Ethics **COPE's** Best Practice Guidelines.

PUBLICATION ETHICS

Absolute compliance to standards of ethical behaviour is demanded from all parties involved in the publication – Authors, Editors, Reviewers, and the Publisher.

AUTHORS' DECLARATION

The Author declares that the manuscript is original and in its present form has not been published elsewhere in any form, that it has not been submitted to any journal/proceedings and that it will not be submitted to any other journal/proceedings, if it is accepted for publication in the International Journal of Knowledge, Innovation and Entrepreneurship (IJKIE Journal);

- The Author is responsible for the research they have done and for the results described in the paper;
- If the article is a joint work of several authors (co-authors), the Author who submits the article declares that any person named as author (co-author) of the Author is aware about the requirements of this agreement and has agreed to being so named;
- The Author confirms that the article does not infringe upon any copyright, contains no libelous or otherwise unlawful statements, and does not otherwise infringe on the rights of others;
- The Author transfers the copyright for this publication/article to the Publisher;
- The Author licenses to the Publisher the right to distribute the Article as in line with the IJKIE journal indexing and abstracting or archive;
- The Author has the rights to a) distribute non-commercially individual hard copies of the article to the interested parties, with proper citation to the published source, and b) use, after publication, part or all of the Article, providing a proper acknowledgement to the source and to the Publisher.

Required Standards of Reporting

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

Editors' Rights' to Access Data

Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review and should be prepared to provide public access to such data and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Academic Integrity: Originality and Plagiarism

Authors should ensure that submitted work is original and has not been published elsewhere in any language, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. It is the responsibility of authors to follow relevant or applicable copyright laws and conventions. Authors should only reproduce copyright material such as tables, figures or extensive quotations with appropriate permission and acknowledgement.

Concurrent Publication

It is unethical and unacceptable for author to submit the same manuscript to more than one journal at the same time or concurrently.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental Errors in Published Works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

EDITORS

Editors should evaluate manuscripts exclusively based on their academic merit. Editors should take reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

Peer Review Process

All research articles published in the IJKIE will undergo review, based on initial editors screening, and by at least two anonymous referees.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Reviewer Misconduct

IJKIE Editors will take reviewer misconduct seriously and pursue any allegation of breach of confidentiality, non-declaration of conflicts of interest (financial or non-financial), inappropriate use of confidential material, or delay of peer review for competitive advantage. Allegations of serious reviewer misconduct, such as plagiarism, will be taken to the institutional level.

REVIEWERS

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments, so that authors can use them for improving the paper. Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Publication Decisions

The IJKIE Editorial Editors with the editorial board are responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The Review Team may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The Review Team may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Fair Play

An editor at any time evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without

regard to the nature of the authors or the host institution including race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editors and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. In the case of a misconduct investigation, IJKIE may disclose material to third parties (e.g., an institutional investigation committee or other editors). IJKIE does not disclose reviewers' identities.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

Corrections

When genuine errors in published work are pointed out by readers, authors, or editors, which do not render the work invalid, a correction (or erratum) will be published as soon as possible. The online version of the paper may be corrected with a date of correction and a link to the printed erratum. If the error renders the work or substantial parts of it invalid (in case of an honest error), the paper should be retracted with an explanation as to the reason for retraction.

Ensuring the Integrity of the Published Record - Suspected Research or Publication Misconduct

If serious concerns are raised by readers, reviewers, or others, about the conduct, validity, or reporting of academic work, IJKIE Team will initially contact the authors and allow them to respond to the concerns. If that response is unsatisfactory, IJKIE will take this to the institutional level. Once an investigation is concluded IJKIE will publish comment that explains the findings of the investigation. IJKIE may decide to retract a paper if the Editorial Board is convinced that serious misconduct has happened even if an investigation by an institution or national body does not recommend it. Retracted papers will be retained online, and they will be prominently marked as a retraction in all online versions, including the PDF, for the benefit of future readers.